

KOBE-TH-94-04
hep-th/9409152
September 1994

Analysis of the Wheeler-DeWitt Equation beyond Planck Scale and Dimensional Reduction¹

Tsutomu Horiguchi²

*Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kobe University
Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657, Japan*

Kayoko Maeda³ and Makoto Sakamoto⁴

*Department of Physics, Kobe University
Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657, Japan*

Abstract

We solve the Wheeler-DeWitt equation for *four*-dimensional Einstein gravity as an expansion in powers of the Planck mass by means of a heat kernel regularization. Our results suggest that in the universe with a very small radius or with a very large curvature beyond a Planck scale expectation values of operators are reduced to calculations in a path integral representation of *three*-dimensional Einstein gravity.

¹ Work supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (No.30183817).

² E-mail address: horiguchi@phys02.phys.kobe-u.ac.jp

³ E-mail address: maeda@phys02.phys.kobe-u.ac.jp

⁴ E-mail address: sakamoto@phys02.phys.kobe-u.ac.jp

As the evolution of the universe is followed backwards in time, we would expect quantum gravitational effects to become important. Nonperturbative effects would drastically change the notion of space-time beyond the Planck scale and invalidate perturbative calculations around a fixed background metric. Quantum geometrodynamics based on the Wheeler-DeWitt equation [1] is a natural framework which may answer the question of quantum space-time structure at the early stage of the universe. A lot of work has been done along this line and various interesting results have been obtained [1]-[5]. However, in contrast with its grand motivation, most of studies have been devoted on simple minisuperspace models in the semiclassical approximation. Short distance physics beyond the Planck scale is not still uncovered.¹ In this paper, we propose a new approximation scheme to solve the Wheeler-DeWitt equation and discuss physical meanings of the wave function of the universe to leading order. Our results may suggest that beyond the Planck scale dimensional reduction of four-dimensional Einstein gravity occurs and a new phase appears.

The (unregulated) Wheeler-DeWitt equation without matter is

$$\left[-\frac{16\pi}{m_p^2} G_{ijkl}(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta h_{kl}(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta h_{ij}(x)} + \frac{m_p^2}{16\pi} \sqrt{h(x)} ({}^3R(x) + 2\Lambda) \right] \Psi[h] = 0 , \quad (1)$$

where m_p is the Planck mass and G_{ijkl} is the metric on superspace

$$G_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{h}} (h_{ik}h_{jl} + h_{il}h_{jk} - h_{ij}h_{kl}) . \quad (2)$$

The ${}^3R(x)$ denotes the scalar curvature constructed from the three-metric h_{ij} . The Wheeler-DeWitt equation stands in need of regularization because it contains a product of two functional derivatives at the same spatial point,

$$\Delta(x) \equiv G_{ijkl}(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta h_{kl}(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta h_{ij}(x)} . \quad (3)$$

For example, $\Delta(x)$ acting on ${}^3R(y)$ is proportional to $(\delta(x, y))^2$, which is meaningless. To make eq.(1) well defined, we want to replace $\Delta(x)$ by a renormalized operator $\Delta_R(x)$, which is a finite operator preserving the three-dimensional general coordinate invariance. Recently Mansfield proposed a renormalization scheme to solve the Schrödinger equation for Yang-Mills theory in the strong coupling expansion [8].

¹ A new approach to canonical quantum gravity has been proposed by Ashtekar [6]. In terms of Ashtekar's new variables, a large class of solutions to the Hamiltonian constraint has been constructed in the loop representation [7], though physical meanings of those solutions are still unclear.

We shall generalize the renormalization procedure developed by Mansfield to the Wheeler-DeWitt equation and solve it for the wave function of the universe as an expansion in powers of the Planck mass.

The first step to construct $\Delta_R(x)$ is to “point split” the functional derivatives[8]. Consider the differential operator

$$\Delta(x; t) \equiv \int d^3x' K_{i'j'kl}(x', x; t) \frac{\delta}{\delta h_{kl}(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta h_{i'j'}(x')} , \quad (4)$$

where $K_{i'j'kl}(x', x; t)$ is a bi-tensor at both x' and x and satisfies the heat equation,

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_{i'j'kl}(x', x; t) = -\nabla'_p \nabla'^p K_{i'j'kl}(x', x; t) , \quad (5)$$

with the initial condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} K_{i'j'kl}(x', x; t) = G_{i'j'kl}(x) \delta(x', x) . \quad (6)$$

Here, ∇'_p and $\delta(x', x)$ denote the covariant derivative with respect to x' and the three-dimensional δ function, respectively. The heat equation (5) may be solved by the standard technique[9]. Taking t small but nonzero in eq.(4) gives a regulated operator of $\Delta(x)$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be three-dimensional integrals of local functions of h_{ij} . The action of $\Delta(x; t)$ on $\mathcal{O}$ may contain inverse powers of t , which diverge as $t \rightarrow 0$. These powers of t may be determined from dimensional analysis and general coordinate invariance. We have, for example

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x; t) \int d^3y \sqrt{h(y)} &= \sqrt{h(x)} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_1}{t^{3/2}} + \frac{\alpha_2}{t^{1/2}} {}^3R(x) + O(t^{1/2}) \right\} , \\ \Delta(x; t) \int d^3y \sqrt{h(y)} {}^3R(y) &= \sqrt{h(x)} \left\{ \frac{\beta_1}{t^{5/2}} + \frac{\beta_2}{t^{3/2}} {}^3R(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} (\beta_3 ({}^3R(x))^2 + \beta_4 {}^3R_{ij}(x) {}^3R^{ij}(x) + \beta_5 \nabla_i \nabla^i {}^3R(x)) + O(t^{1/2}) \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where α_n 's and β_n 's are numerical constants. The first few coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= -\frac{21}{8(4\pi)^{3/2}} , \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{3}{2(4\pi)^{3/2}} , \\ \beta_2 &= -\frac{11}{24(4\pi)^{3/2}} . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We cannot simply replace $\Delta(x)$ by $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Delta(x; t)$ because of divergences of inverse powers of t .

The second step is to extract a finite part from $\Delta(x; t = 0)\mathcal{O}$. We again follow the procedure by Mansfield. We introduce a differentiable function $\phi(\varepsilon)$ such that $\phi(\varepsilon)$ rapidly decreases to zero at infinity with $\phi(0) = 1$. Then, our definition of $\Delta_R(x)$ is

$$\Delta_R(x)\mathcal{O} \equiv \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} F(s) , \quad (9)$$

where

$$F(s) = s \int_0^\infty d\varepsilon \varepsilon^{s-1} \phi(\varepsilon) \Delta(x; t = \varepsilon^2) \mathcal{O} . \quad (10)$$

We can see that the right hand side of eq.(9) is equal to $\Delta(x; \varepsilon^2 = 0)\mathcal{O}$ if we naively take the limit $s \rightarrow 0$. By analytic continuation, we can give a meaning to the right hand side of eq.(9) even if $\Delta(x; \varepsilon^2)\mathcal{O}$ diverges at the origin like ε^{-n} with integer n , and use the right hand side of eq.(9) as our definition of $\Delta(x; \varepsilon^2 = 0)\mathcal{O}$. The integral $F(s)$ exists for $s > n$ (provided $\phi(\varepsilon)\Delta(x; \varepsilon^2)\mathcal{O}$ has no other divergences) so that we can analytically continue $F(s)$ to small values of s and take the limit $s \rightarrow 0$ to obtain a finite result. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_R(x) \int d^3y \sqrt{h(y)} &= \sqrt{h(x)} \left\{ \alpha_1 \frac{\phi^{(3)}(0)}{3!} + \alpha_2 \phi^{(1)}(0) {}^3R(x) \right\} , \\ \Delta_R(x) \int d^3y \sqrt{h(y)} {}^3R(y) &= \sqrt{h(x)} \left\{ \beta_1 \frac{\phi^{(5)}(0)}{5!} + \beta_2 \frac{\phi^{(3)}(0)}{3!} {}^3R(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \phi^{(1)}(0) \left(\beta_3 ({}^3R(x))^2 + \beta_4 {}^3R_{ij}(x) {}^3R^{ij}(x) + \beta_5 \nabla_i \nabla^i {}^3R(x) \right) \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\phi^{(n)}(0) \equiv \frac{d^n \phi(0)}{d\varepsilon^n}$. The results depend on the arbitrary function ϕ . This is an inevitable consequence of isolating finite quantities from divergent ones. Physical quantities must be independent of this arbitrariness, so that coupling “constants” should be regarded as functionals of ϕ . This is the basic problem of renormalization. We shall return to this point later.

As discussed above, we have the finite version of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation,

$$\left[-\frac{16\pi}{m_p^2} \Delta_R(x) + \frac{m_p^2}{16\pi} \sqrt{h(x)} ({}^3R(x) + 2\Lambda) \right] \Psi[h] = 0 . \quad (12)$$

We have chosen the renormalization procedure to preserve three-dimensional general coordinate invariance but this is not enough to preserve the whole symmetry of the theory at the quantum level. We have to check that our renormalization procedure would be consistent with the constraints which are the generators of the symmetry. Consistency of the constraints requires that commutators of the constraints do not lead to new constraints. The momentum constraints are generators

of three-dimensional general coordinate transformations. Since our renormalization procedure preserves three-dimensional general coordinate invariance, no anomalous terms may appear in commutators with the momentum constraints. There remains to be considered only the commutator of the Hamiltonian constraints. The Hamiltonian constraint is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(x) \equiv -\frac{16\pi}{m_p^2} \Delta_R(x) + \frac{m_p^2}{16\pi} \sqrt{h(x)} ({}^3R(x) + 2\Lambda) . \quad (13)$$

More correctly, we compute the commutator $[\int d^3x \xi(x) \mathcal{H}(x), \int d^3x' \eta(x') \mathcal{H}(x')]$ for arbitrary scalar functions ξ and η . An anomalous term could appear from the commutators of Δ_R and $\sqrt{h} {}^3R$. In fact we find the following anomalous term:

$$\phi^{(1)}(0) \int d^3x \sqrt{h} (\xi \nabla_i \eta - \eta \nabla_i \xi) \nabla^i {}^3R , \quad (14)$$

with a nonzero coefficient. This term may be expected from dimensional analysis and the antisymmetry under the exchange of ξ and η . We therefore take

$$\phi^{(1)}(0) = 0 , \quad (15)$$

for consistency of the constraints with our renormalization procedure.

Now we want to solve the (renormalized) Wheeler-DeWitt equation (12) with the condition (15). To solve it, we attempt an expansion of the wave function of the universe in powers of the Planck mass. We assume that the wave function $\Psi[h]$ has the form

$$\Psi[h] \equiv \exp\{-S[h]\} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{m_p^2}{16\pi}\right)^{2n} S_n[h]\right\} . \quad (16)$$

Then, the Wheeler-DeWitt equation becomes

$$\Delta_R(x) S - G_{ijkl}(x) \frac{\delta S}{\delta h_{kl}(x)} \frac{\delta S}{\delta h_{ij}(x)} + \left(\frac{m_p^2}{16\pi}\right)^2 \sqrt{h(x)} ({}^3R(x) + 2\Lambda) = 0 , \quad (17)$$

so that to leading order

$$\Delta_R(x) S_1 = -\sqrt{h(x)} ({}^3R(x) + 2\Lambda) . \quad (18)$$

We adopt an *ansatz* of locality[8] to solve the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in the expansion (16). We will assume that $S[h]$ is a sum of integrals of local functions of h_{ij} .

Then, from eqs.(11) with the condition (15), we find a solution to leading order as²

$$S_1 = \int d^3x \sqrt{h(x)} \{c_1 + c_2 {}^3R(x)\} , \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= -\frac{3!}{\alpha_1 \phi^{(3)}(0)} \left(2\Lambda - \frac{3! \beta_1 \phi^{(5)}(0)}{5! \beta_2 \phi^{(3)}(0)} \right) , \\ c_2 &= -\frac{3!}{\beta_2 \phi^{(3)}(0)} . \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Before we discuss physical interpretations of the wave function we found to leading order, we would like to explain physical meanings of the expansion (16). The semiclassical expansion corresponds to the expansion in powers of $\hbar$, while the expansion (16) corresponds to the expansion in powers of $\hbar^{-2}$ because $\hbar$ appears in the combination of $\hbar m_p^{-2}$ in quantum gravity. Thus the wave function to leading order in the expansion (16) is expected to describe quite different physics from the semiclassical one. The semiclassical approximation will be valid for long-wavelength gravitational fields, while the expansion (16) may be useful when the wavelength of gravitational fields very rapidly changes in a wavelength because the first term in eq.(17) has to be more important compared with the second term. The accuracy of our solution to leading order may be gauged by comparing the magnitudes of the successive terms $(m_p^2/16\pi)^2 S_1$ and $(m_p^2/16\pi)^4 S_2$ in series for S . Taking $\Lambda = 0$ for simplicity and assuming $\phi^{(n)}(0) \sim \mu^n$, where μ is a mass parameter, we can write the leading term as

$$\left(\frac{m_p^2}{16\pi} \right)^2 S_1 = \mu^3 \int d^3x \sqrt{h} \left(\frac{m_p}{\mu} \right)^4 \left\{ a_1 + a_2 \frac{{}^3R}{\mu^2} \right\} , \quad (21)$$

where a_1 and a_2 are dimensionless constants of order one. The next leading term may be of the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m_p^2}{16\pi} \right)^4 S_2 &= \mu^3 \int d^3x \sqrt{h} \left(\frac{m_p}{\mu} \right)^8 \left\{ b_1 + b_2 \frac{{}^3R}{\mu^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\mu^4} (b_3 ({}^3R)^2 + b_4 {}^3R_{ij} {}^3R^{ij} + b_5 \nabla_i \nabla^i {}^3R) \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where b_n 's are dimensionless constants. It follows that we can drop the next leading term when $\mu \gg m_p$ and ${}^3R \sim \mu^2$, $\nabla_i \nabla^i {}^3R \sim \mu^4$, etc. We therefore expect that the

² In ref.[10], Kodama has pointed out that the exponential of the Chern-Simons action, which is equivalent to the Einstein action [11], is an exact solution of the Hamiltonian constraint in the holomorphic representation of the Ashtekar formalism[6]. Connections with our solution are unclear.

wave function to leading order describes the universe with the curvature much larger than the Planck scale. If we apply the expansion (16) to a minisuperspace model, we can see that the expansion is essentially identical to a small radius expansion of the universe. Thus we may expect that our expansion gives a good approximation for the universe with the radius much smaller than the Planck scale, though the minisuperspace model will not be applicable to this region.

Let us now discuss the physical interpretations of our result (19). We may compute expectation values of operators Ω for Ψ as

$$\langle \Omega \rangle = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}h \Psi^*[h] \Omega \Psi[h]}{\int \mathcal{D}h \Psi^*[h] \Psi[h]} . \quad (23)$$

Since a precise definition of expectation values (or inner products) is not known in quantum gravity, the right hand side of eq.(23) is to be regarded as a formal definition of expectation values. If we put the wave function $\Psi[h]$ to leading order into eq.(23) and note that $\Psi[h]$ is real, we find that the expression is a path integral representation for the vacuum expectation values in three-dimensional Einstein gravity. Therefore, we may conclude that in the universe with the very small radius or with the very large curvature beyond the Planck scale expectation values of operators can be calculated as the vacuum expectation values of *three*-dimensional quantum Einstein gravity. Three-dimensional Einstein gravity has no local excitation, i.e., no graviton but a finite number of degrees of freedom, and is in fact a topological field theory [11, 12]. An interesting speculation from the above observations is that beyond the Planck scale dimensional reduction of four-dimensional quantum gravity occurs³ and topological phase appears [14]. One might expect that the same mechanism of dimensional reduction could occur for three-dimensional Einstein gravity. However, this is not the case because of the topological nature of the two-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action.

We would like to make comments on the factor-ordering problem and the renormalizability. We have chosen a factor-ordering shown in eq.(4). Other choices of factor-orderings will lead to different values of numerical constants, e.g., α_n 's and β_n 's in eq.(7) but will not change the qualitative features of our results. In our formulation, the renormalizability of the theory requires that all physical quantities must be independent of the arbitrary function ϕ , so that the Newton “constant” and the

³Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity has been discussed by 'tHooft [13] in a different context.

cosmological “constant” will be functionals of ϕ . To leading order the above statement may be replaced by saying that the wave function is independent of ϕ . This requirement implies that the Newton “constant” $G = m_p^{-2}$ (the cosmological “constant” Λ) is a function of $\phi^{(3)}(0)$ ($\phi^{(3)}(0)$ and $\phi^{(5)}(0)$). We may define a β -function for $1/G^2$ as

$$\beta \equiv \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left(\frac{1}{G^2} \right), \quad (24)$$

where $\mu \equiv (\phi^{(3)}(0))^{1/3}$ is a parameter of mass dimension one. Requiring that the wave function to leading order is independent of μ gives $\beta = 3/G^2$, which tells us that $1/G(\mu)^2$ is proportional to μ^3 . The actual (dimensionless) expansion parameter is $(m_p(\mu)/\mu)^4 = (G^2(\mu)\mu^4)^{-1}$, which decreases as the mass scale μ increases. Thus, the expansion (16) is expected to give a good approximation scheme for large μ .

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank A. Hosoya, K. Inoue, M. Kato, Y. Kazama, C. S. Lim and T. Yoneya for useful discussions.

References

- [1] J. A. Wheeler, in *Battelle Rencontres*, edited by C. DeWitt and J. A. Wheeler (Benjamin, New York, 1968);
B. S. DeWitt, *Phys. Rev.* **160**(1967)1113.
- [2] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev.* **D28**(1983)2960;
S. W. Hawking, *Nucl. Phys.* **B239**(1984)257.
- [3] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev.* **D30**(1984)509; *Phys. Rev.* **D37**(1988)888.
- [4] T. Banks, *Nucl. Phys.* **B249**(1985)332.
- [5] T. Horiguchi, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A8**(1993)777; *Phys. Rev.* **D48**(1993)5764.
- [6] A. Ashtekar, *Lectures on Non-perturbative Canonical Gravity* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [7] T. Jacobson and L. Smolin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B299**(1988)295;
C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B331**(1990)80.
- [8] P. Mansfield, *Nucl. Phys.* **B418**(1994)113.
- [9] J. S. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82**(1951)664;
B. S. DeWitt, *Phys. Rep.* **19**(1975)295.
- [10] H. Kodama, *Phys. Rev.* **D42**(1990)2548.
- [11] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B311**(1988/89)46.
- [12] Y. Fujiwara, S. Higuchi, A. Hosoya, T. Mishima and M. Siino, *Phys. Rev.* **D44**(1991)1756; *Phys. Rev.* **D44**(1991)1763.
- [13] G. 'tHooft, Utrecht preprint (1993), THU-93/26 (gr-qc/9310026).
- [14] E. Witten, *Phys. Lett.* **B206**(1988)601; *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117**(1988)353;
118(1988)411.